

## $\frac{POLYESTER}{FABRICATION} \frac{PRODUCTS}{FABRICATION}$



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	(CI)			
AIRS ID#: 1030497 DATE: <u>2/6/2007</u> ARRIVE: <u>2:00PM</u> DEPART: <u>3:00PM</u>						
FACILITY NAME: STM INDUSTRIES						
FACILITY LOCATION	I: 379 E. Douglas Road					
	OLDSMAR 34677					
RESPONSIBLE OFFICE	IAL: FALCO WITKAMP	PHONE: (8	813)854-3544			
CONTACT NAME: FA	a:CP WITKAMP	PHONE: (				
REMITTANCE YEAR:	2006 ENTITLE	EMENT PERIOD: 12/23/2006 (effective date)	/ 12/23/2011 (end date)			
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate</li> <li>Does the facility of and emissions unit 62-210.300(3)(a) of (Rule 62-210.300)</li> <li>Does the facility of not cause, suffer, a odor?</li> <li>Does the combined in any consecutive</li> <li>Does the owner/op used on a monthly</li> <li>Does the owner/op of at least five year</li> <li>Is this polyester re Reasonably Availa</li> </ol>	perate any emissions units other ts which are exempt from permitt or (b), F.A.C., or have been exem (3)(c)5.a., F.A.C.)——————————————————————————————————	than the polyester resin plastic proting pursuant to the criteria of para apted from permitting under Rule 6 for prohibition of subsection 62-296 air pollutants which cause or contributions and gel-coat used exceed 76,62-210.300(3)(c)5.c., F.A.C.)	ducts fabrication units graph 52-4.040, F.A.C.?			

PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))						
1.	g employed  SYes [	es No No No No No No				
2.	general permit in a manner that minimizes adverse effects on adjacent property or on public use of the adjacent property, where applicable, and on the environment, including fish, wildlife, natural resources water quality, or air quality?					
3.						
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. (check ☐ appropriate box(es))  A. New or Modified Process Equipment						
Since the last inspection has there been     a) installation of any new process equipment?						
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?				⊠No		
recent notification form?d) If you answered <b>YES</b> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete						
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or local program office?				⊠No		
Shea L.	Jackson	2/6/2007				
	Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	_			
2008						
	Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				

COMMENTS: Comments: The highest reported consecutive twelve-month total was unavailable. The total for the months of September 2006 through January 2007 = 21,706 lbs. I advised Mr. Witkamp, that I feel that he is going to be close to exceeding the general permit, material usage limitation of 38 tons. Mr. Witkamp has submitted a polyester resin plastic products fabrication Air General Permit notification copy to FDEP on 9/29/2006. (See copy of gp in file) - Kennemer Marketing Group, LLC's Polyester Resin Plastic Products Fabrication general permit became effective on December 23, 2006. The facility had been in operation under new ownership since May 1, 2006. Mr. Witkamp emailed the September – January reports to our office. I reviewed records for the months of September 2006 records to January 2007, after Mr. Witkamp emailed the record totals to my office on 2/8/2007. The review of the records found that the totals for 2 of the months were above 6000 lbs. Mr. Witkamp will not be held responsible for the totals of his predecessors company, his records will be reviewed looking at the potential from the highest month, which was 6111 lbs for January 2007. The potential material usage estimated was 79,332 lbs for a 12-month period. This would exceed the 76,000 lbs. We will not be able to determine actual material amounts until; Mr. Witkamp has been the owner for over 12 months. He is in compliance at this time, pending his company reaching a full 12 months of operations under his ownership. At that time, the department will compare his own operations actual material usage for the combined resin totals for a 12-month rolling total. Mr. Witkamp is still adding the previous owners last years resin totals for his recordkeeping.

Comments: An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were:

Downwind odor detected- Styrene odors; Wind direction - SouthEasterly Upwind odor detected-

I detected a significant styrene odor on the property where the materials were in use. The business in the same warehouse was Fastenal. One of their employees was stating the styrene odor was strong inside the building. I asked him if perceive odor to be coming in from outside or through the interior walls. He stated he thought it was from the inside. I advised him it there was not a firewall could becoming through wall ad over the ceiling tiles. I gave him my card and advised they may want to check with the building landlord. I later emailed Mr. Witkamp and informed him there may be a problem concerning the styrene odor inside the building. Mr. Witkamp spoke to the complainant and is working out a solution for the interior odors. (See email response from Mr. Witkamp in file)